

EECS 428
Computer Networks II
2005 Spring Semester

Presentation Evaluation

April 11, 2005

Speaker(s): Dan

Paper title: The Impact of Multihop Wireless Channels on TCP Throughput and Loss

Introduction. Comment on whether there was a clear statement of the paper objectives and of the paper background.

Score: (0-10): _____

Body of presentation. Comment on the clarity of the presentation. Was the delivery (organization, speaker's voice and demeanor) clear and organized? Was the problem clearly stated? Was the approach reported clearly and related to the problem statement? Were the contributions of the paper clearly related to previous work?

Score: (0-15): _____

Interaction. Did the presentation format stimulate interaction? Was there enough time for interaction? Were questions answered satisfactorily?

Score: (0-20): _____

(over)

Critical thinking. Did the presentation highlight the primary contributions? Was enough time devoted to the primary points of the paper or was a lot of time wasted on minor details? Did the speaker point out whether the paper is correct or if important factors are missing? Did the presentation clearly separate the primary and the secondary contributions of the paper? Did the presentation clearly outline the positive aspects of the paper? Did the presenter clearly state the negative aspects of the paper? Were negative aspects of the paper clearly distinguished from necessary limitations of the paper? Did the presenters state their general opinion of the paper?

Score: (0-45): _____

Conclusions. Was the paper appropriately summarized? Was possible future work discussed? Were general conclusions and opinions stated clearly and convincingly? Do conclusions stimulate critical thinking or discussion?

Score: (0-10): _____

Total: (0-100): _____

Additional comments. Write any additional comment here.

(over)